

TO WHOM IT MAY
CONCERN 27/3/14

I HAVE SPENT MANY
HOURS FILLING IN
THIS FORM.

IT IS ONE OF THE MOST
DIFFICULT THAT I HAVE
COME ACROSS AND NO
DOUBT PUT THINGS IN
THE RIGHT/WRONDS
BOXES.

MY POINTS ARE VERY
VALID AND NEED TO BE
TAKEN INTO SERIOUS
CONSIDERATION.
"NO MORE BUILDING IN THESE
LUCKY AREAS" PLEASE

PLANNING SERVICE
RECEIVED
31 MAR 2014

CITY OF BRADFORD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT COUNCIL

For Office Use Only:

Name

Ref

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

Ben RAYDOR, GREENBELT PROTECTION GROUP

** If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.*

1. YOUR DETAILS*

Title

DRS

First Name

[REDACTED]

Last Name

WINTERBURN

Job Title
(where relevant)

Organisation
(where relevant)

Address Line 1

Line 2

LINKEN

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

LS29

Telephone Number

Email Address

Signature:

PLANNING SERVICE
2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)

31 MAR 2014

Date:

21st March 2014

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Official Use Only:

Date

Page

PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?**

Section

Paragraph

Policy

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant

Yes

No

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

4 (2). Sound

Yes

No

4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

--

No

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Ilkley has been designated a principal town in conjunction with Keighley and Bingley yet Ilkley couldn't be more different. Its population is far smaller and is already being suffocated by over development, poor infrastructure and stresses are already been seen in the community by poor parking facilities, lack of toilet facilities for visitors, schools full to bursting point where there is no guarantee that siblings will be in the same school, in fact one may have to go to schools further afield, like Keighley. Only one medical centre to cope with the high ratio of elderly people in the area. The only secondary school, Ilkley Grammar,

CITY OF BRADFORD METROPOLITAN DISTRICT COUNCIL

For Office Use Only

Date

Page

(3)

PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paragraph	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---------	-------------------------------------	-----------	-------------------------------------	--------	-------------------------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (2) Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

IS a new housing rabbit warren of hot-patch buildings, ever increasing, porta cabins are added where there is now no space left available. Site B was an option for a new Grammar School but has been vetoed and yet you talk of putting houses here!!

The A65 is the main route through the town and at certain days, times of the week is totally stagnant with people travelling to take district and beyond not a pleasant experience for any traveller or local, sometimes this is due to deliveries to shops and the road is not wide enough for two cars to get past and everything becomes choked up. At least Keighley and Bingley have a bypass!

CHURCH STRETTON HEREFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

4

8. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

hand

I find it was, it's possible to have this plan legally compliant or sound.
More schools will have to be built,
more parking made available, every week
(in the Gazette there are articles about
major concerns about road safety and Ratlins
taking place press schools and retirement
homes. Any new homes should be forward thinking,
with green energy and style befitting the area
not in excess of 'safe' houses as in Quisley and High Royal.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination



Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

If no representation is made we will lose
the individuality of a small town, which will
become a tick and just merge into nearby towns.
Llany needs to be saved from any more development.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

27/3/2014

CITY OF BRADFORD Metropolitan District Council

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Council is trying to give a much needed boost with the building of a shopping mall. Bradford has the infrastructure and enough space to build affordable housing in the hardendyle, Barkerend, Thornbury, BD3. If you are wanting to revive Bradford the best way is to build housing locally so people will come and spend in their local town.

Please note: your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature: 

Date:

21/3/2014

CITY OF BIRMINGHAM METROPOLITAN DISTRICT COUNCIL

(b)

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM